United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC



IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus.

ISADORE MARION,

Defendant-Appellant.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PETITION FOR REHEARING AND SUGGESTION FOR REHEARING EN BANC

ELLIOT A. TAIKEFF, ESQ. 335 Broadway New York, New York 10013 Telephone: (212) 966-1000

OSCAR B. GOODMAN, ESQ. 230 Las Vegas Blvd. South Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 384-5563

Attorneys for Appellant



75-1408

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ISADORE MARION,

Defendant-Appellant.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PETITION FOR REHEARING AND SUGGESTION FOR REHEARING EN BANC

ELLIOT A. TAIKEFF, ESQ. 335 Broadway New York, New York 10013 Telephone: (212) 966-1000

OSCAR B. GOODMAN, ESQ. 230 Las Vegas Blvd. South Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 384-5563

Attorneys for Appellant

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Petition for Rehearing and Suggestion for Rehearing En Banc	1
Certificate of Service by Mailing	3
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED	
United States Code:	
Title 18 § 1503	. 2
Title 18 § 1623(c)	2
Title 18 § 2517(5)	2
Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure	
Rule 35	1
Rule 40	1

ANCERNSE RONG SOUTHWARTH COLUSIA: 25% COTTON FIGE:

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

NO. 75-1408

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ISADORE MARION.

Defendant-Appellant.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PETITION FOR REHEARING AND SUGGESTION FOR REHEARING EN BANC

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES KAUFMAN, Chief Judge, SMITH and ANDERSON, Circuit Judges, Being the Judges of the Panel in the Above Captioned Case:

This is a Petition for Rehearing pursuant to Rule 40 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, and Suggestion for Rehearing En Banc pursuant to Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, from the Order and Opinion of this Honorable Court entered May 7, 1976, affirming in part and reversing in part the judgment of conviction in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, WILLIAM C. CONNER, Judge, for Perjury, 18 U.S.C. § 1623(c), and Obstruction of Justice, 18 U.S.C. § 1503.

This Honorable Court reversed Count 1 (Perjury, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1623(c)) and Count 2 (Obstruction of Justice, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1503). The Court affirmed Appellant's conviction as to Count 3 (Obstruction of Justice, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1503).

In reaching its conclusion the Appellate Panel reversed the convictions on the basis that conversations intercepted which led to the indictment clearly related to offenses "other than those specified" in State wiretap orders, and since the Government failed to obtain subsequent judicial approval required by 18 U.S.C. 2517(5) the convictions had to be reversed.

It was Appellant's position that Count 3 also should have been reversed on the basis that the Federal statute requires that subsequent judicial approval be made by Federal Judges as to the usage of intercepted conversations in Federal proceedings, when the interception was originally garnered as a result of a State Court wiretap order.

It is submitted that if this Petition is granted, Appellant be permitted to reargue the matter in the interest of justice.

TO TO THE PARTY OF Action . The Contract The state of the s The same of the sa a construction of the second s The Table Ballet The Bull The the Connect state of the terminal terminal markets. property to the second of the The secretar extension with letter with the collection was and with the second of the second with the same the sam the state of the s the resource of the end of the board of the control the second of the second of the second ones. the control to a state of the same and a control to the conference to the second contract and the standard against a second contract and The sound of the section and the second section of the section of CALL TO LOUR WITH THE PARTY.

Walle wello see.

As uso highwhing.

As uso highwhing.

The time to file this Petition for Rehearing with Suggestion for Rehearing En Banc was extended to June 21, 1976, and Appellant hereby claims the three-day mailing period.

Respectfully Submitted:

ELLIOT A. TATKEFF, ESQ. 335 Broadway New York, New York 10013 Telephone: (212) 966-1000

OSCAR B. GOODMAN, ESQ. 230 Las Vegas Blvd. South Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 384-5563

Attorneys for Appellant

By Occar & Budmen - by In OSCAR B. GOODMAN

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAILING

The undersigned hereby certifies that two (2) true and correct copies of the above and foregoing Petition for Rehearing And Suggestion for Rehearing En Banc was, on this 21st day of June, 1976, mailed, postage prepaid, to CARL M. BORNSTEIN, Special Attorney, United States Department of Justice, Organized Crime & Racketeering Section, One St. Andrew's Plaza, New York, New York 10007.

LINDA C. ROGERS